App. F. IX-4

1925.

(Mysore)

File No. 198-2

Previous References
Mysg 198-25-3-4

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 5, 7

Later References
Mysg 310-37 1-

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 10

Part B for April 1927

SUBJECT

Appeal petition from Venkatagiriappa, son of Ranniah, Singapoor praying the stay order against the Mysg Comr's order re mismanagement of the produce of the Ogge Tope belonging to Kodandaramaswami temple at Singapoor

G.O. No. 1904-5 dated 2.4.27

To the Mysg. Com' Comptr

The Tope may be given to Venkatagiriappa on lease for 5 years on stipulated conditions

1925

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mazrai

NOTES.

File No. 198/1925

FILE HEADING.

Misappropriation of the produce of the Ippe Tope belonging to Sri Kodanda Rama Swamy temple at Sriyatoor, Kolar Taluk.

From

Venkatagiriappa Son of Ramiah, Sanbog Sriyatoor.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 16-1-26. Received

Diary No.	Enclos. 3 Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1
799		

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Mag. Comrs. order directing the lease (J) of the Tope, and the remedy (E) of Rs 280/ being one-half of the value of its produce for 7 years from 1909, for clandestine enjoyment of the lands, in contravention

NOTES AND ORDERS.

D The Mg. Comrs. order of 1906, and prays for an ad-interim order directing the Stay of the execution of the Mag. Comrs. order pending disposal of the appeal.

The petition is duly Stamped

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1264—GPB—40,000—17.
[App. F. II

File No. 198 of 1925.

Serial No.

P

A copy of the Muz Comrs' order appealed against has been produced. The Muz. Comrs' order was passed on 5-1-1925, while the appeal petition was received in the Secty on 16-1-1926. It is barred by time, and some explanation is given in the last para of the petition.

2) The Muz. Comrs' order goes fully into the facts of the case. Sanction for orders as to whether a report with reasons may be called for. (BV 19-1-26.)

The explanation for delay in filing this appeal may be accepted & the appeal admitted. A report with connected records may be called for.

4 As the Taluk authorities have been demanding the payment of a sum of Rs 280 as a compensation for alleged clandestine engagement by the hope of his father & grandfather, it should be first seen whether the allegation is true & supported by documentary evidence. A stay order may also perhaps be issued may

BV
20.1.26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

admitted. (subject to the question of limitation) & the records & report called for.

A Stay order is also prayed for may perhaps be issued.

Rev. Sec'y.) 20/1.

7/8th Member)

It seems to me that the appellant has no case. However, I think we ought to hear the matter in Council before passing final order. Notice may be given to him to appear on Saturday, the 30th inst. No stay order is called for

27/1.

517/21/1

Dy. Secretary (Case to be noted in the cause-list)
22.1.26. 23.1.26. 28/1.

O.S. of 1924-5)

2. To.

Mr. D. Shamba Rao, Advocate No 967 dt 25.1.26.

States that he will be heard on the 30th inst and that he may before this Office @ 12 noon that day

Mr Shamba Rao (Advocate) having appeared today. He was asked to explain why the appeal should not be dismissed, as

Serial No.

[illegible]

391
9815

[illegible]

1264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
[App. F. III

neral and Revenue Secretariat

File No.

of 1921

Serial No.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY COUNCIL
17 JULY 1926
No. 335

(1) At the request of the advocate the case is adjourned.

Mu 17.7.26

N/17/7

(2) Dft. notice intimating the adjt of the case to the 31st inst, is submitted as directed by the member on the Advocates application. AV 19.7.26.

Submit.

AV 20.7.26. 22/7/26 Yes. Issue.

N 22/7

733/31.7

(3) Mr. Shamakan heard on 31.7.26
Appeal admitted.
The records may be called for.

Mu 31(7)/26

N 31/7

(4) Dft. submit. Issue

AV 3/8/26

4/8/26 (The draft is under issue)

from the said Venkatagiriappa praying for a stay order pending disposal of the appeal, is submitted.

(5) Members order thereon
Stay order may be issued.

(6) The Dft. approved is added to and re-submitted. for approval.

AV 6/8/26. 6/8/26 Issue as revised. (Keep a fair draft in file)

Dft. sent also submit

AV 6/8/26.

6/8,

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-2

General and Revenue Secretariat

mur File No. 198 of 1925

Serial No.

6 To, mur Comm= No 140 d/6-8-26

Forwards papers for early report with records with the request that the execution of the order of dn C. may be stayed.

Encls No 141 d/.6.8.26 to Venkata-giriappa. Impersonation of the above

From, The Sheristadar Comm=

Letter no. C. 198 dt 21. 9. 26.

Submits the report with records called for in the above.

2. The preamble to the M. C's order appealed against goes fully into the facts of the case.

3. From a perusal of this order and the appls. admissions in his petition to the M. C., it is seen that the Ippe Tope on S. nos. 73 and 78 of Sugatur Village, Kolar Taluk is a Dharma Tope belonging to Sri Kodandaramaswamy temple in the Village intended for the supply of oil for Archanas to the temple, and also for the car of Ratotsava. Owing to mismanagement, the Dy. Comm= in his order of 18th May 1906 directed the resumption of the lands endowed to the temple from the pat's family and also the leasing out of these lands.

Where is this? send for mur M.C. 22/1 It has been asked to M.C. Dtr Sabrmt. for appl (Vide page 10 of the M.C. record) Mr. Miller since read 19/11/27 B

NOTE—Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 198 of 1918

Serial No.

As the temple needed repairs and as no Nanda Dipa was being maintained, the then Dy Comr Mr Bowring in his cowpon dated 3rd March 1915 directed the leasing out of the temple lands and the recovery of a sum of Rs 560/ from the apts' father Sambay Ramiah being the profits for 7 years ~~since~~ 19 from 1907 at Rs 80/ per year for clandestine enjoyment of the Inpc. Rs per Dy. Comr's order, the lands came to be leased out from 1915 16.

32/ Ramiah's son Venkatagiriappa appealed to the Asst. Comr stating that his father maintained Nanda Deepa in the temple during the period complained of, that he should not be held responsible for this amount and praying that the amount might be remitted and that the dope might be restored to his possession.

33 In view of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 9% of 1925

Serial No.

fact that the aplt has been maintaining Akhanda, the M.C. ordered the recovery of one-half of the arrears due by his father viz Rs 280/, the other half being remitted.

3 The aplt in his petition at first prays for the remission of the full amount, and his prayer is for orders. He urges that his family has fulfilled the object for which the Dope was planted. In his report of 1-10-1924, the Amildar reports that it is a fact that out of pure devotion though not Akhanda is being maintained in the temple etc.

3 The aplt prays that the Dope may be restored to his possession in consideration of the long connection of his family with it. ~~the Dope~~ In the Amildar's report of 1-10-1924 referred to it is mentioned that the lands have been ~~land~~ out to one Gudigappa for 3 years on an annual patta of Rs 80/

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 198 of 1925

Serial No

and it is open to the applt to bid for, and obtain the lease at the time of the next auction if he chooses to do so—

36 Submitted for orders.

BS 1-10-26.

37 As the Mag Comr has himself said that the object of the grant is being fulfilled there does not seem to be any ground for not restoring the lots to the appellant possession. The Govt does not seem to be justified for the omission of his failing to maintain Kunda Dipam in his time, and so he is not liable to pay any such fine as has been levied by the Mag Comr in his order appealed against. If allowed a hearing may be given.

BS 5/10/26

38 Dft. to D.C. as indicated personally by the Hy Secretary is submitted.

BS 15.11.26

39. Issue

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mesc File No. 198 of 1926-27

Serial No.	
8	To, D.C. Kolar Dy 902 dt 17.11.26 Calls for further report in the matter No 903 dt 17-11-26. Copy to M.C. Form, the Dy. Comr., Kolar Di Letter no. A.2-C 1607-26-27 dt 3.1.1 Submits the report called The above. vide A Statement giving details of lands endowed to the temple, has received It will be seen from the Statement that with the exception The Dharma Tope in Gurkin, and lands in Gowdahalli (given to Kandaya to Jadmali, Dhobi and temple Servants), The others do have been in possession of Archaks. No scale, it is repos has been fixed for the term Submit (M 10.1.) 24/1/27

W.
Please bring up on 29/1/27

W3 the facts of the case over the M.C's order (H: [illegible]

The appellants contentions are (1) Rs 280/- to not recoverable him
(2) - the land should not be leased to Archs.
II members)

Waited upon the Assistant Secretary on 11-1-27

NOTE—Contd. sheet

This file was received from the Assistant Secretary on 21-1-27

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1.9-26
App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 198 of 192 5-26

Serial No.

14 A offn. calling for the m.c's office file, is submitted as directed by the Board Secretary (Vide page 5 of the office note).

AV 24/1/27 24.1.27

10. Do.

Mecr Comms no 1410 dt 9-2-1927.
Requests him to return his office file re Ippe Tope. which was sent to him with Dl S. above

11. From, the Inez. comr Letter no. C. 198 dt 8/2/1927.
Submits the m.c's office file re the Ippe Tope in question.
Submitted for orders.

AV 15/2/27. 15/2/27

The appellant claims that the tope was planted by his forefathers. It seems that his family enjoys the tope with the duty attached to it of keeping the Dasa Devi in the temple in the village for failure to keep the Devi for seven years as reported the Dy Comr. ordered that the income whol

Serial No.

he should have derived in that period from the topes be recovered from this man. The income was calculated at Rs 80/- a year He was deprived of the topes and it was leased out to some one else He appealed to the Murrai Court On his representation that he had been keeping the lights the amount to be recovered from the ~~appellant~~ was reduced by one half. He now requests that he might be excused the payment of the ~~the~~ half of the amount also and given back the topes. The case is a hard one. It will be hard to say that for seven years the man kept no light at all in the temple. Also it is hard that he should pay back an imaginary ~~rate~~ income assessed at rather liberal rates, for having failed to keep the lights. The topes has been since leased out to others but the lease amount is not being realised regularly. The best course seems to be to let him keep the topes on condition that he keeps the lights in the temple as before and get an agreement from him on duly stamped paper that he will keep the lights or pay Rs 5/- or 6/- a month when he fails to keep them

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 198 of 1925

Serial No.

Provision may be made in the agreement if necessary for recovering the amount as an arrear of land revenue.

(3) The amount of Rs 250 now to be received from the man under the new lease order may also perhaps be excised.

I agree Secretary

For perusal and orders

MD 4/3



Second Member

With I agree. After the period of the present lease is over, the land may be given by petition on lease for a period of 5 years at a time. It is not necessary to require the payment of any Monthly Sum. But the lessee must on duly the condition that he should arrange for Akhanda and Rathotsava according to manual and that the lease will be terminated if the condition is not fulfilled. An agreement to this effect may be taken and required to be executed

MD 5.3.27

dft MD 6/3



NOTE—Contn Sheet

13

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 198 of 1925

Serial No.

57

Dr. S.O. submits
AL
14.3.27. HK
14.3.27

51 Issue
Mut
29/3

12 Do Secy Comms & Comptr
No 1904-5 dt 2-4-27

~~Representation against~~

Excuses the recovery of the amount of Rs 230/- ordered by the Mag. Court for enjoying the produce of the Ijara Inam and directs that the Inam may be given to Venkatagiriappa on lease for a period of 5 years at a time on certain stipulated conditions.



Mur-799
DIARY
19 9
SECTION
FILE No. 198



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

-----:0:-----

(Muzrai Department)

Muzrai Appeal No. of 1925-26.

Before the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore

Muzrai Appeal No. 6 of 1924-25.

Appellant:-

Venkatagiriappa, son of Ramiah, Shanbhogue of Sugatoor, Kolar Taluk.

Under Section of the Mysore Muzrai Regulation, the appellant above-named respectfully begs to state as follows:-

1. Survey Nos. 73 and 78 of Sugatoor Village belongs to the appellant. The accounts of the year 1827 relating to the old topes clearly show that the lands were granted to one Ramappa, great great grand father of this appellant and that the said Ramappa planted a tope on them. Since then the said lands and thopes are in possession and enjoyment of the appellant's family. The appellant has produced the said accounts before the Amildar and they are in the records.

2. Prior to the introduction of the Survey

Survey and Settlement the said 2 numbers formed only one plot of land. At the time of Survey and Settlement, this plot of land was divided into 2 numbers, viz., 73 and 78 as there were no trees on the whole land. There were trees on Survey No. 78 and there were no trees on Survey No. 73. One Munira presented an application for grant of Survey No. 73 on which there were no trees. The appellant's father objected to the grant on the ground that it belonged to him. Thereupon his application was rejected and a mutchalika was taken from the appellant father, Ramiah to the effect that trees should be planted on the said number also. Accordingly he planted trees on this number also. The petition of the appellant's father and the order of the Amildar thereon dated the 19th December 1887 are herewith produced.

3. Survey settlement records such as khetwar, gida patrika, faisal patrika clearly show that the lands and the tope belong to the appellant.

4. For the past many years, the appellant's family has maintained a nanda deepam and fulfilled the object for which the tope was intended.

5. On the complaints of some persons of Sugatoor that Hunniappa, Shanbhogue, grand-father of the appellant misappropriated the Hippe tope left for the temple of Sri Kodandaramaswami for supply of oil for the Akhanda in the temple, the then Amildar reported that the tope belonged to the temple and the proceeds thereof were being enjoyed by him clandestinely and solicited orders for resuming the

tope and leasing it out to others. He also solicited orders to recover a sum of Rs. 560/- from the said Muniappa being the proceeds of the tope for 7 years. The then Deputy Commissioner on the 3rd March 1915 directed the resumption of the tope as well as the recovery of Rs. 560/- from the said Muniappa. The petitioner's father and grand-father died a few years ago subsequent to the date of the Deputy Commissioner's orders. The tope was then leased out. So long as the appellant's father and grand-father were alive no steps were taken to recover the said sum.

In February 1923, the Amildar reported that the levy of Rs. 560/- from the appellant might be waived as the appellant's father and grand-father were dead and as the appellant had continued to maintain the Nanda Deepam in the temple at his own cost for over 7 years though he was deprived possession of the tope. The Amildar also proposed that the tope might be left in the hands of the appellant who agreed to maintain Nanda deepam in the temple and also meet the entire cost of the Rathotsavam excluding Santarpane. The Dharmadarsis and the other villagers also were agreeable to the said proposal. The Sub Division Officer ordered that the sum of Rs. 560/- should be recovered from the appellant promptly and that the tope should be leased by auction. The appellant then preferred an appeal to the Deputy Commissioner who was pleased to dismiss the appeal. The appellant then preferred an appeal to the Muzrai Commissioner who was pleased to reduce the sum of Rs. 280/- and dismiss the appeal in other respects. Hence this appeal to the Government on the following grounds:-

grounds:-

(a) There is ample evidence on record to show that the tope belongs to the appellant. The Muzrai Commissioner is wrong in finding that the appellant has not proved his right to the tope.

(b) there is also ample evidence on record to show that the appellant's family has fulfilled the object for which the tope was planted.

(c) The observations of the officers that the appellant's father clandestinely enjoyed the produce and that he falsified the village accounts are unwarranted and unjustifiable. The appellant submits that there is absolutely no foundation for making those observations.

(d) When the appellant is prepared to maintain Nanda Deepam and meet the cost of the Rathotsavam, it is hardly fair and just that he should be deprived of possession of the tope which has in possession of the family for over 100 years.

(e) The Muzrai Commissioner should have taken into consideration the Amildar's report which is based on the wishes of the Dharmadarsis and other villagers.

(f) The appellant assures the Government that he does not claim the tope for his personal ends and that he would maintain it in good condition and utilise the proceeds towards the legitimate expenses of the temple.

(g) The appellant submits that the order directing the lease of the tope by auction and recovery of Rs. 280/- from him is highly illegal and improper.

(h) The appellant submits that the Muzrai Commissioner should have remitted the full amount instead of Rs. 280/-.

Wherefore, the appellant respectfully prays that the Government may graciously be pleased to set aside the order of the Muzrai Commissioner.

In view of the fact that the Amildar has issued orders for recovery of Rs. 280/- from the appellant promptly, he respectfully prays that an order may kindly issue directing the stay of execution of the order of the Muzrai Commissioner until disposal of this appeal.

Although the appeal was disposed of on 1-7-1925, no endorsement was granted to the appellant up-till-now. The appellant came to know of the order on or about 1st August 1925. He applied for a copy on 1-8-1925 and was sent to him by post on 16-10-25. The appellant received copy on 20-10-25 as per postal cover herewith produced.



Deputy Commissioner ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ
Munsif Department

1925---26 ನೇ Munsif appeal ಆಕಿರುವು.

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್.

Venkata Giri appellant

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪೋನೆಂಟ್,

Vs.

ಮಾ॥ ರಾ॥ D'Mello Bros & Co, Ltd, advocates ಯವರಿಗೆ.

ನಾ, the appellant above ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಖೈರುವರುವಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರುಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ರೀಫಂಡು ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಮೊಬಲಗು ದಸ್ತಾವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸ್ಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ರುಜು Venkata Giri

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 12/1/26

D'Mello Bros
advocates for appellant
15/1/26



OFFICE OF THE ... COLLECTOR ... MYSORE ... DATED ...

Order of the Madras Court Mysore in
Supreme Bangalore
Subject - Appeal petition (No 6 of 24-25 dated
26th August 1925) of Venkatagiriappa of Sugatur
village Kolar Taluk against the order of the My
Commissioner declaring to inter-
vene with the powers by Commissioner in Pro-
ceedings under directing the recovery
The appellant father
province of the Nippe tope in
of Sugatur
Dharma tope belonging to the temple of Sri Rakta
Kuteswara Swami in the village.

Facts of the case

On May 1924 one Venkatanarasiah of Sugatur
complained to the Taluk of the mis appropriation
by the Shanbhoge Narayappa (grand father of the
appellant of the province of the Nippe tope for the
temple of Sri Kodandarama Swamy for supply
of oil for the Archanda in the temple and also
for the endowed of certain Sevas viz Rathot
Sevas etc - The mismanagement of the officers
of the temple had on the complaint of Sri Krish-
pandit Sreenivasachar of the High School Kolar
formed the subject of previous enquiry a
detailed report by the Asst

Whereupon the proprietor of Thandavapuram who was enjoying the produce of the tope to the detriment of the institution should be deprived of its possession and it should be leased out to the best advantage of the temple. The neglected condition of the Institution and the mismanagement of its endowments having been again brought to notice by the Board on after personal inspection in January 1905 and April 1906, the Dy Commissioner in his order of 18th May 1906 directed the resumption of the lands endowed to the temple from the persons in clandestine enjoyment thereof and to lease them out. In November 1913 the villagers having again complained during Jamabandi to the Board of the failure that the mismanagement of the Institution and the misappropriation of the lands belonging thereto continued, he enquired into the matter and submitted a detailed memo of the case. He reported therein that Survey nos 73 and 78 formed Dharma tope containing large number ofIppa, Tamarind, and other trees belonging to the temple as per original Tope accounts (Fuda pattike) and also according to the Sidhanti Jothisa accounts and its produce amounting to Rs 80/- to 90/- annually as being clandestinely enjoyed by the Thandavapuram Lawrah (Appellants father) who denied the fact the tope belonging to the temple, and claimed it as his own and solicited orders to resume the tope and lease it out and also to recover a sum

"from the Shanbogue towards the 7 years produce
Since 1907 enjoyed by him in contravention of the
Dy Commissioners orders of 1906. He was also
brought to notice that the Shanbogue had falsified
the village accounts with him by inserting the
words Shanbogy Nanjappas Amarai" against
the tope. The Amildar further proposed that the
temple which needed repairs to the extent of Rs 500
may be put in order by meeting the cost from
the above sum of Rs 560/- The Dy Commissioner
Mr. Bowring in his order of 3rd March 1915
sanctioned the Amildars proposal and
directed the resumption of the tope as well
as the recovery of Rs 560/- from the Shanbogue
The tope was leased out to one Bale Gita
Ramiah for Rs 100/- per annum and as
regards the recovery of Rs 560/- from the
Shanbogue actual steps do not seem to have
been taken till his death in April 1922.
In February 1923 the amildar reported to the
Subdy Officer that the tope had been ordered
by Commissioners (Mr Bowrings) order
leased out from 1915-16 and that excluding
the deposit of Rs 100/- a sum of Rs 700 was
due up to the end of 1922-23 from the lessee
that he may be exempted from payment of

half this amount as he did not realize more than Rs 150 & 50 a year as alleged by the Dharmadarsis and villagers and the other half viz Rs 350/- may be ordered to be recovered from him and that the levy of Rs 560/- due from the appellants further may be waived as Ramboje Ramiah was dead and he and his son Venkat apparijappa had continued to maintain the Vandadeepam in the temple at their own cost during the past 7 years though they were deprived of the possession of the Tope. He further proposed that as the endowment of the Tope had been deteriorated being over grown with kantam and other rank vegetation it might be kept in the hands of Venkat apparijappa the appellant who agreed to how to maintain Vandadeepam in the temple and also meet the entire cost of the Rathotsava enabling Sankarpam and to which proposals the Dharmadarsis and villagers were agreeable The Sub Divisional Officer in observing the delay in executing the previous Deputy Commissioners order directed in his order of 21st March 1923 the prompt recovery of the dues to the Devasthanam

and the lease of the Hope by auction. On May 1924 the appellant complained against this order to the Commissioner who after hearing him dismissed his petition stating that it was a matter which had been disposed of by Mr Bowring and he may appeal against the same, if so advised. The present appeal is against this order. The appellant's plea is that the Hope was in the possession of the family for over 70 years and that the leasing Rs 560/- at this distance of time after his father's death is not justifiable as he has maintained the Nandadeepam regularly through and fulfilled the object for which the Hope was intended. He was heard in person on 20th December 1924

R. Dis 22-25
order No 126 dated 5th January 1925

In view of the report that the appellant has been maintaining Nandadeepam half the amount due from him should be remitted and the balance of Rs 280 recovered. The large sum due from the previous lessee Bala Seetharamiah should also be recovered promptly.

As regards regular Hope appellant's claim is not proved and it is open to the

Deputy Commissioner to Collector to whom
is likely to maintain it in a good condition

Sd) M. Chandy
Asst. Commissioner

Copy applied for 18.8.25
Copy ready 19.8.25
Two folios 1106
copying fees 1-8-0
Comparing fees 0-8-0
Compared by Asst.

Copy
Thomas
15/10/25
Forwarded

Asst.



ಅನುಬಂಧ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧

ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ

ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ನಾನು ಶ್ರೀ/ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಮಗ/ಮಗಳು/ಹೆಂಡತಿ
ಶ್ರೀ ಸಾ ಗ್ರಾಮ/ಪಟ್ಟಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು
ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಇವರು ಕಳೆದ ೧೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಿತರಿದ್ದು
ಇವರ ನಡತೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಪ್ರಮಾಣೀಕರಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸ್ಥಳ :
ದಿನಾಂಕ :

ಸಹಿ
ಹೆಸರು
ವಿಳಾಸ

KOLAR
1907
25

11 30
17 00 25

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

Section mun

File No. 198-25

Seria No. 2

No. 907.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

.....

Mr. D. Shama Rao,

Advocate, is informed that appeal petition no. 799 presented by him on behalf of Venkatagiriappa Son of Ramiah re The Oppe Tope belonging to Sri Kodanda-ramaswamy temple at Sugatoor, Kolar Taluk will be heard before Govt. on Saturday the 30th instant, and that he may appear before this office, that day at 12 noon

By order

Date of issue 25/1/26

Signed by

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

Asst. Secretary





BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

-----:0:-----

Muzrai Appeal No. of 25-26.

Appellant:-

Venkatagiriappa, son of Ramiah, Shanbhogue of Sugatoor, Kolar Taluk.

The appellant above-named respectfully begs to state as follows:-

1. That the appellant preferred the above appeal to the Government on the 15th January 1926 against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore directing the resumption of the thope in question and also recovery of Rs. 280/- from him. This appeal which was posted to 31st July 1926 for admission was heard that day and admitted. The appellant learns that the records are called for.

2. That the appellant had also prayed in his appeal petition for ad interim order directing the Amildar not to adopt coercive steps to recover the amount until disposal of the appeal. The late Member of Council was pleased to say that the ques-

question of issuing a stay order would be considered only after the appeal was admitted.

3. The Amildar has now put up all the immovable properties of the appellant for sale on the 12th Instant. If the sale takes place before the disposal of the appeal the appellant will be put to substantial loss.

4. The appellant submits that inasmuch as the appeal has been admitted and posted for hearing it is very necessary in the interests of justice that the execution of the order under appeal should be stayed.

5. Wherefore, the appellant prays that the Government may graciously be pleased to direct the stay of execution of the order under appeal until disposal of the appeal.

Bangalore,
6-8-1926.

SUBJECT..... 2715 .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat)

the 192

Date of issue 6-8-26

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Genl

File No. 198-26

Serial No. 6

No. 140 Genl

To

The Asst. Comr

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for an early report with record petition no. 799 from Veerappa Sah of Ramiah Sanbag of Sugahur, Kolar Taluk, appealing against the Asst. Comr's order, directing stop the matter of the leasing out of the Tope belonging to Sri Kodandaramaswamy temple at Sugahur, Kolar Taluk and [illegible] leasing of

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000— 24-12-25

~~to 480 / being~~ one-half of
the value of its produce
from 1907 for clandestine
shipment of the Lands. ~~in contravention of the Customs Order of 1906.~~ I am further
to request that
the execution of the order
appealed against may be
stayed pending disposal
of the appeal.

I have &c.

J. Read Secretary.

6/8

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

....

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to ___

Section Mucz

File No 198-4

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192* .

No. 141

Date of issue 6-8-26

To

Signed by _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

With reference to his petition no. 799 appealing against the Muzrai Comr's. order in the matter of the leasing out of the Appe Tope belonging to Sri Kodandarama Swamy temple at Sugatur, Kolar Taluk, Venkata Sivappa Son of Ramiah, Shanbag of Sugatur, Kolar Taluk, is informed that a report has been called for

over.

from the Mag. Cmsr. who has been requested to stay execution of the order appealed against pending disposal of the appeal.

B.O

DM/2 Rec'd 16/8/22

BA

6/8/22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 17th September 1926.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., L.T.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Referring to your letter No. ~~240/Muz~~.240/Muz.198-25-26 -- dated 6th August 1926 forwarding for report with records petition (9) from Venkatagiriappa, son of Ramiah, Shanbhog of Sugatur, Kolar Taluk appealing against this office order in the matter of the leasing out of the Ippe Tope belonging to Sri Koudandarama swamy temple at the place and recovery of one-half of the value of its produce from 1907 for clandestine enjoyment of the lands, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire file of records received with the Kolar District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.A-2-C. 1607 of 26-27 dated 14th September 1926 and also this office file R.Dis.No.126 of 24-25 dated 5th January 1925. The preamble to the order explains the facts of the case.

The petition and its enclosures received with your letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Station).

Annual income.

Existing lease

Jodi &c.

Assessment

Extent A. C.

S.No.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYS

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 17th November

No. 902 Enc one file.

Mes 1985-8

From

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Financial ~~Revenue~~ Departme

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District, Kolar.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. A-2-C1607 of 1926-27 dated t. 14th September 1926 addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysor. reporting on the appeal petition of Venkatagirinappa, son of Ramia Shanbog of Sugatur in the Kolar Taluk in the matter of the leasing out of the Ippe Tope belonging to Sri Kodandaramaswamy temple at the place and recovery of one-half of the value of its produce for clandestine enjoyment of the lands, I am directed to enquire whether the temples endowed with lands -- Inam as well as Government -- and if there is a sanctioned scale of income and expediture for the institution and whether the lease amount due on account of the Dharma Tope in question ~~has~~ been regularly recovered ~~besides the Dharma Tope in question~~ besides the Dharma Tope was resumed by the Dy Comr. A statement giving details of the lands endowed to the temple as indicated in the margin may be sent.

2. The favour of a very early reply is requested. The records are herewith returned for reference and resubmission.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedien serv.

Sd/- B. Srinivasa Iyengar,
Secretary to Government
Financial Department.

Memo No. 903 Enc one file dated, Bangalore, the 17th November 1926.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with reference to his letter No. C.198 dated 21st Septe,ber 1926.

By order,

Fair Dft) MV for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department

In all future correspondence please quote this number
A.2-C.1607-26-27..
Encs. on file

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT,

Dated Kolar, the 3rd January *192*6.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
10 JAN 1927
MUZRAI

FROM A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial *Secretary to Government of Mysore,*
~~*Department*~~, *Bangalore.*

D 917
Muz 198--25-9

REV. SECTT. Muz 8 JAN. 1927 SECTION

SIR,

With reference to your letter No:902/Muz:198-25-8 dated 17th November 1926, calling for certain particulars with regard to the Sri Kodandaramaswami temple at Sugatur, Kolar Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith the Amildar's report No:H.C.778/21-22 dated 16th December 1926, submitting the required information with a statement giving details of the lands enaowed to the temple. The statement will show that with the exception of the Dharma Tope in question the other inams have been in the possession and enjoyment of the archak The gutta amount due on the tope is not being paid regularly by the guttadars and the Amildar reports that he has been taking vigorous action to recover from them the arrears outstanding up to now. No scale has yet been fixed for the temple.

2. The entire records bearing on the subject are sent herewith.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your mostobedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

V.V.R.
3127.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mur

File No. 198-25

Serial No 10

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 1400

To

The Insp.-Gen.re

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Sir,

Date of issue 9.1.27

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to return at a very early date, your office file no. R. Dis. 126 of 24-25 Dy 5-1-1925 re The Ippe Tope belonging to Sri Kodanda Rama Swamy temple at Sugatur in The Kolar Taluk, which was forwarded to you under cover of this office memo no. 903-Insp. 198-25 Dy 14-11-1926., as the file is required for reference in connection with

Signed by Mr. S Guruswamy Naidu

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by 29/1

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

an appeal case pending
before him.

I have the

7/26/11
Dft/dr Inl. Secretary

Bdy 24.1.27

No. C-198 of 26-7
one file

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Bangalore,...7th February.....192

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

GEN. & REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
9 FEB 1927
MUZRAI
D 1119

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Muz 198-25-11

SIR,

Pay Please put with the file

As requested in your letter No.1400/Muz.198-25-10 dated 2nd February 1927 I have the honor to forward this office File No.R.Dis. 126 of 24-25 dated 5th January 1925 relating to the appeal in respect of the Inpe Tope belonging to Sri Kodandaramaswamy temple at Sugatur in the Kolar Taluk.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

P. G. D'Souza

Muzrai Commissioner.

SL

PRIVATE SECRETARY,
TO THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
OF MYSORE.
Date of Receipt 30.3.27

1854.

To
The Dewan of Mysore.
Bangalore

Muzarai appeal no 6
196.27

orders issued.
may be kept
in the file &c.
Submitted
M/
1/6/27

From
Shanbhog Venkatagirigappa
Sugatur. Kolar Taluk.

Dear Sir

I had bringed the appeal to your Court, Hippe Lopu Survay no. 73-78 of Sugatur Village on 15.1.26. It cannot be issued the notices, and not yet ~~the Case~~ Settle the case.

So kindly Settle case as for as possible, and get the final order copy. (or endorsment). I hope That you will fulfil my humble request.

I beg to remain
Respected sir
your most obedient Servant

Dated 6th June 27

SUBJECT.....

Diary No of letter replied to 1119

SECTION Jun 2

FILE No. Mur 198-25

SERIAL No. 12

Muzrai Secretariat

(Mur 2)

the 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 2-4-27

Read—

Petition no. 799 dt 16 January 1926 from Shanbo Venkatagiriappa appealing against the Muz. Comr's order directing the lease of the Ippe Tope belonging to Sri Kodandi Ramaswamy temple at Sugatur, Kolar Taluk, and the recovery of Rs 280/- being one-half of the value of its produce for 7 years from 1917

Signed by. M. Venkatasubbaiyangar

Order Thereon No.

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Ab.
RS
4/4

for clandestine enjoy
of the lands in contravention
of the Dy. Comr's. order of
1916.

Correspondence ending with
letter no C. 198 of 8th July. 27
from the Asst. Comr. in Mysore
on the subject

Order thereon 1904-5 Encls. Two files,
one of which is big vernacular
An Appe Tope on S nos 73 and file received direct from
18 of Sugatur Village, Kolar
Taluk, is a ~~Dharma~~ charitable Tope
belonging to Sri Kodanda
Ramaswamy Temple in the
village, and is intended
for the supply of oil
for Akhanda in the temple
and also for the conduct
of Kartoswa. The apte
alleges that his family
enjoyed the Tope with the
duty of keeping the Torch
of Nanda-Dipa in the
the Village

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0566—2000—GPB—29.7.22

G. R. S. G/331

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet: draft form.)

for failure to keep
Akanda for 7 years
1907, The Dy Comr
in 1915 The recovery
a sum of ~~Rs~~ 560/-
from the appellants
father Sanboy Ramaiah being the valu
of the produce enj
during the period,
at ~~Rs~~ 80/- per year
and the Tope Jopa-
~~was leased~~ out to other
Ramiah's Son, The pre
apltt Venkatagiriah
appealed to the Asst
Comr, and in his repre
sentation that he
been maintaining
The Asst reduced the amount to be
recovered
by one half As
this order, he his
appeals to Govt,
resting that he might
be excused the payment of
the other half of the amount
also, and given back
the Tope —

(2) On a considera

WD 2230—GPB—1,00 000—23 7 20.

G. R. S. G/03]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

executed before he is given the possession of the



WD 2230—GPE—1,00,000—23-7 20